(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date 7 November 2002 (07.11.2002)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number WO 02/087360 A3

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: A23F 5/46, 5/24, A23L 1/22, 1/234, 3/34, 3/3526, 3/3535

(BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

- (21) International Application Number: PCT/EP02/03027
- (21) International Application Number: PC1/EP02/0302/
- (22) International Filing Date: 13 March 2002 (13.03.2002)
- (25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data: 60/278,506

23 March 2001 (23.03.2001) U

- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): SOCIETE DES PRODUITS NESTLE S.A. [CH/CH]; P.O. Box 353, CH-1800 Vevey (CH).
- (72) Inventors; and
- (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): ZHENG, Ying [CN/US]; 6102 Northeliff Road, Dublin, OH 43016 (US). KLUEPPEL, Anthony [US/US]; 7714 Aldridge Place, Dublin, OH 43017 (US). CHENG, Pu-Sheng [—/US]; 6952 Literary Lane, Dublin, OH 43017 (US). MILO, Christian [DE/CH]; Chemin des Faverges 16, CH-1006 Lausanne (CH). RAHMANI, Rachid [US/US]; 17886 Woodview Drive, Marysville, OH 43040 (US).
- (74) Agent: PATE, Fred; Avenue Nestlé, 55, CH-1800 Vevey (CH).
- (81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent

Declarations under Rule 4.17:

- as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a patent (Rule 4.17(ii)) for the following designations AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG)
- as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii)) for the following designations AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG)
- of inventorship (Rule 4.17(iv)) for US only

Published:

- with international search report
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments
- (88) Date of publication of the international search report:
 19 December 2002

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: IMPROVEMENT OF AROMA-CONTAINING COMPONENTS

(57) Abstract: The aroma-improving agent is present with the aroma-containing component, such as chocolate, cocoa, tea or coffee, in an amount effective to chemically interact with aroma compounds to form an improved aroma-containing component which: (a) enhance a significant portion of one or more of the desirable flavor or sensory characteristics of the aroma in the aroma-containing comonent; or (b) reduces one or more non-desirable flavor and sensory characteristics. The aroma-improving agent preferably is a nucleophile that contains sulfur or nitrogen, such as sulfur dioxide, sulfites, substances that contain or generate sulfite, thiols, amines or amino acids.







INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

nal Application No PCT/EP 02/03027

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 A23F5/46 A23F

A23L3/3526

A23F5/24 A23L3/3535

A23L1/22

A23L1/234

A23L3/34

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 A23F A23L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ, BIOSIS, MEDLINE, FSTA

U.	DOCUME	:M12 COL	NOIDERED	10 BE F	RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 0 934 702 A (NESTLE SA) 11 August 1999 (1999-08-11) page 3 -page 4; claims; examples	6,9,11, 14-17, 20-24
X	EP 0 963 706 A (NESTLE SA) 15 December 1999 (1999-12-15) page 2, line 19 - line 55; claims 1-10; example 1; table 3	6,7,9, 11, 14-17, 20-24
X	US 3 421 906 A (REICH ISMAR M ET AL) 14 January 1969 (1969-01-14) column 1 -column 2; claims 1,2; examples I-III	6,9,11, 13-17, 21-24

X	Further documents are listed in the	continuation of box C.
لسييب	'	

χ Patent family members are listed in annex.

 Special 	categories	of cited	documents:
Openia	caregories	OI CILCU	doodincing.

- 'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- 'E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or
- document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed
- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

Date of mailing of the international search report

7 October 2002

Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,

Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Muller, I

24/10/2002

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (July 1992)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int nal Application No PCT/EP 02/03027

		PCT/EP 02/03027
	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 3 540 889 A (CLINTON WILLIAM P ET AL) 17 November 1970 (1970-11-17) cited in the application column 2, line 12 - line 47; claims 1-9; examples 1-4	6,9,11, 14-17, 21-24
X	US 4 378 380 A (SCARPELLINO RICHARD J ET AL) 29 March 1983 (1983-03-29)	6,9,11, 14-17, 21-24
	claims 1,8; examples 1,2	
X	DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 198825 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class D13, AN 1988-169864 XP002215838	9,14,15, 21-24
• •	& HU 44 914 A (TIMAR G), 30 May 1988 (1988-05-30) abstract	
(DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 199641 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class D13, AN 1996-405953 XP002215548	6,7,9, 14-16
	& JP 08 196212 A (NIKKEN FOOD KK), 6 August 1996 (1996-08-06) cited in the application abstract	
X	DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 200032 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class D13, AN 2000-369397 XP002215839 & JP 2000 116364 A (TAKARA SHUZO CO LTD),	6,7,16, 17
	25 April 2000 (2000-04-25) abstract & PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN , 29 September 2000 (2000-09-29) & JP 2000 116364 A (TAKARA SHUZO CO LTD) abstract	
	·	

remational application No. PCT/EP 02/03027

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search and be carried out, specifically: See FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210 3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:		
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: 1-5, 8, 18-20 because they relate to parts of the international Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international Search can be certified out, specifically: see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210 Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is tacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 1. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:	This International Search Report has not been established in r spect of cer	tain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: 1-5, 8, 18-20 because they relate to parts of the international Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international Search can be certified out, specifically: see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210 Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is tacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 1. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:		
2. X Claims Nos.: 1-5, 8, 18-20 because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international Search can be certified out, specifically: see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210 3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is facking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:		by this Authority, namely:
because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically: See FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210 3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet) This international Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:	bedded they relate to despess marker not required to be deciroted	by the radiomy, namely.
because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically: See FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210 3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet) This international Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:		
because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically: See FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210 3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet) This international Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:		•
because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically: See FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210 3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet) This international Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:		
see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210 3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:		
see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210 3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:	because they relate to parts of the International Application that de	o not comply with the prescribed requirements to such
Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet) This international Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:		· ·
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:	see FUNTION INFORMATION SHEEL FCT/15A/2	.10
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:		
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:		
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:		
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:	because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accord	ance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:		
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Con-	linuation of item 2 of first sheet)
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this inter	national application, as follows:
searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	The members occioning radionly loans malapie invertions in the inci-	national approaction, as follows:
searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	1 As all required additional sparch tags were timely haid by the ann	licant this International Search Report covers all
of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	•	
of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifyin	n an additional fee, this Authority did not invite navment
covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		g an additional look who had being and het althou paymont
covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely p	aid by the applicant, this International Search Report
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically cla	ims Nos.:
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		·
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		·
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applic	ant. Consequently, this international Search Report is
	in I to reduce additional ecolori less more atticky bala by are applied	ed by claims Nos.:
	restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered	•
	restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered	·
	restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered	
	restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is cover	
	restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered	
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.	restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered	
	restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered	

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

Continuation of Box I.2

Claims Nos.: 1-5, 8, 18-20

Present claims 1-5, 8 and 18-20 relate to an extremely large number of possible methods respectively compounds. Moreover, afore-noted claims relate to methods and compounds part of which are defined by reference to a desirable characteristic or property, namely using or comprising agents which chemically interact with compounds associated to the aroma-containing component such as to increase the amount of desirable flavour or sensory compounds or reduce the amount of compounds responsible for undesirable flavour or sensory characteristics.

The claims cover all methods and products having this characteristic or property.

The application however, provides support within the meaning of Article 6 PCT and/or disclosure within the meaning of Article 5 PCT for only a very limited number of such methods and/or compounds. In the present case, the claims so lack support, and the application so lacks disclosure, that a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope is impossible. Independent of the above reasoning, the claims also lack clarity (Article 6 PCT). An attempt is made to define the methods and compounds by reference to a result to be achieved. Again, this lack of clarity in the present case is such as to render a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope impossible. Consequently, the search has been carried out for those parts of the claims which appear to be clear, supported and disclosed, namely those parts relating to methods for preparing a coffee-aroma-containing component or aroma-containing components which aroma is improved by the addition of precisely defined aroma-improving agents.

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims, or parts of claims, relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/EP 02/03027

Patent document cited in search report	ļ	Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
EP 0934702	A	11-08-1999	US	6093436	Α	25-07-2000
			AU	1471599	Α	26-08-1999
			CN	1232622	Α	27-10-1999
			EP	0934702		11-08-1999
			JP	11266842		05-10-1999
			SG	81259	A1	19-06-2001
EP 0963706	Α	15-12-1999	EP	0963706		15-12-1999
			AU		Α	18-11-1999
			BR		Α	16-05-2000
			JP	11346706		21-12-1999
			NO		A	08-11-1999
			NZ	335541		28-04-2000
			SG	81978		24-07-2001
			US	2002098270		25-07-2002
			US	2001008647	A1 ————	19-07-200 1
US 3421906	Α	14-01-1969	BE	721627	A	03-03-1969
US 3540889	A	17-11-1970	DE	1902354	A1	15-01-1970
			ES		A1	16-11-1970
•		•	FR	2000347	A1	05-09-1969
			GB	1214283	Α	02-12-1970
			ΙE	33129	B1	03-04-1974
			SE	355930	В	14-05-1973
US 4378380	Α	29-03-1983	NONE			
HU 44914	Α	30-05-1988	HU	44914	A2	30-05-1988
JP 8196212	Α	06-08-1996	NONE			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
JP 2000116364	Α	25-04-2000	NONE	- 		. +